



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,267

04/26/2006

Bret David Hawkins

PU030298

4090

24498 7590 06/18/2010
Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312

EXAMINER

CHOKSHI, PINKAL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2425

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/18/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/577,267	Applicant(s) HAWKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner Pinkal R. Chokshi	Art Unit 2425	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/19/2010 with respect to claims 21, 28, and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See the new rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 21, 23-25, 28, 30-32, 35, 37, and 38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PG Pub 2002/0194599 to Mountain (hereafter referenced as Mountain) in view of US Patent 6,536,041 to Knudson et al (hereafter referenced as Knudson).

Regarding **claim 21**, "a method for operating a television apparatus" reads on the method that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

As to "the method comprising steps of: enabling, via said television apparatus, display of a banner including information for a future program in response to one of said first program guide data and said second program guide data" Mountain discloses (¶0023) that based on the EPG data received, the

Art Unit: 2425

receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except “requesting, via said television apparatus, first program guide data.” However, Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to “initiating, via said television apparatus, acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data” Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected

Art Unit: 2425

programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 23**, “the method wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a duration of said future program” Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 24**, “the method wherein said future program is a next program on a currently tuned channel” Mountain discloses (¶0013) that the display generated on the TV includes information relating to program next to be shown on one channel.

Regarding **claim 25**, “the method wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide” Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 21.

Regarding **claim 28**, “a television apparatus” reads on the device that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

Art Unit: 2425

As to “comprising: means for tuning a program on a channel” Mountain discloses (§0025) that user selects a program of a channel to watch on a television.

As to “means for enabling display of a banner including information for a future program on said channel in response to one of said first program guide data and said second program guide data” Mountain discloses (§0023) that based on the EPG data received, the receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except “means for requesting first program guide data.” However, Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to “initiating acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data” Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program

Art Unit: 2425

listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain's system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 30**, "the television apparatus wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a duration of said future program" Mountain discloses (§0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 31**, "the television apparatus wherein said future program is a next program on said channel" Mountain discloses (§0013) that the display generated on the TV includes information relating to program next to be shown on said channel.

Regarding **claim 32**, "the television apparatus wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide" Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated

Art Unit: 2425

program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 28.

Regarding **claim 35**, “a television apparatus” reads on the apparatus that provides next program information (abstract and ¶0008) disclosed by Mountain and represented in Fig. 2A.

As to “comprising: a tuner operative to tune a program on a channel” Mountain discloses (¶0025) that user selects channel to watch.

As to “wherein a banner including information for a future program on said channel is automatically displayed in response to one of said first program guide data and said second program guide data” Mountain discloses (¶0023) that based on the EPG data received, the receiver generates a small display on TV indicating start of next program with program information as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Mountain meets all the limitations of the claim except “a controller operative to request first program guide data.” However, Knudson discloses (col.11, lines 7-18) that based on the user's request, the television equipment receives program listing data as represented in Fig. 8.

As to “enable acquisition of said first program guide data in response to said request, wherein if a broadcaster provides second program guide data to said television apparatus without being requested by said television apparatus while said television apparatus is acquiring said first program guide data, said

Art Unit: 2425

television apparatus uses said second program guide data instead of said first program guide data” Knudson discloses (col.2, lines 44-56; col.13, lines 17-48) that the database at television equipment receives program listing data, where when live event data and updated program listings information is received in real-time at television equipment, the television equipment uses the updated program listing data to update the database and displays the updated programming guide data as represented in Figs. 11 and 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain’s system by transmitting EPG and updated EPG to STB as taught by Knudson in order to provide viewer with the latest information of the unexpected programming and update viewers with timing change of the programming (col.2, lines 50-53).

Regarding **claim 37**, “the television apparatus wherein said banner includes at least one of: a title of said future program, a starting time of said future program, and a duration of said future program” Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the displaying information provided includes the program title, start time, channel number, etc. as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Regarding **claim 38**, “the television apparatus wherein said second program guide data includes an updated electronic program guide” Knudson discloses (col.13, lines 37-44) that the television equipment receives updated

Art Unit: 2425

program listing data. In addition, same motivation is used as rejection to claim 35.

4. **Claims 22, 29, and 36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson as applied to claims 21, 28, and 35 above, and further in view of US PG Pub 2004/0078817 to Horowitz et al (hereafter referenced as Horowitz).

Regarding **claim 22**, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except “the method wherein said requesting step is automatically performed a predetermined time period before a detected end time of a currently tuned program.” However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain and Knudson’s systems by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

Regarding **claim 29**, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except “the television apparatus wherein said request for said first program guide data is automatically made a predetermined time period

Art Unit: 2425

before a detected end time of a currently tuned program on said channel.”

However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain’s system by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

Regarding **claim 36**, combination of Mountain and Knudson meets all the limitations of the claim except “the television apparatus wherein said request for said first program guide data is automatically made a predetermined time period before a detected end time of a currently tuned program on said channel.”

However, Horowitz discloses (¶0036 and claim 22) that the query to update EPG data is transmitted immediately prior to the end of scheduled program time.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain’s system by transmitting updated EPG to STB at predetermined time before the program begins as taught by Horowitz in order to view/record programs at the updated time so viewers do not miss any portions of the program (¶0004).

Art Unit: 2425

5. **Claims 26, 33, and 39** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson and Horowitz as applied to claims 22, 29, and 36 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,396,531 to Gerszberg (hereafter referenced as Gerszberg).

Regarding **claim 26**, combination of Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz meets all the limitations of the claim except “the method wherein said predetermined time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus.” However, Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40- 41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

Regarding **claim 33**, “the television apparatus wherein said predetermined time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus” Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40- 41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined

Art Unit: 2425

time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

Regarding **claim 39**, “the television apparatus wherein said predetermined time period is selected by a user of said television apparatus” Gerszberg discloses (col.28, lines 49-58; col.29, lines 40- 41) that by clicking on user profile icon, user is presented with options with input means for inputting information, such as to a user specified schedule. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, and Horowitz's systems by on-screen menu to set the predetermined time as taught by Gerszberg in order to allow users to gain access to latest program information (col.2, lines 34-35).

6. **Claims 27, 34, and 40** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mountain in view of Knudson, Horowitz, and Gersberg as applied to claims 26, 33, and 39 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,763,522 to Kondo (hereafter referenced as Kondo).

Regarding **claim 27**, “the method further comprised of said television apparatus performing steps of: enabling display of said banner using said updated program guide data in response to determining that said banner is not currently displayed” Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the message display is

Art Unit: 2425

generated and indicates viewer that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except “determining if said banner is currently displayed in response to receiving said second program guide data.” However, Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to “updating said banner using said second program guide data in response to determining that said banner is currently displayed” Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg's systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Regarding **claim 34**, “the television apparatus wherein said banner is displayed using said second program guide data in response to said requesting means determining that said banner is not currently displayed” Mountain discloses (¶0023) that the message display is generated and indicates viewer

Art Unit: 2425

that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except “said requesting means determines if said banner is currently displayed in response to said television apparatus receiving said second program guide data.” However, Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to “said banner is updated using said second program guide data in response to said requesting means determining that said banner is currently displayed” Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg’s systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Regarding **claim 40**, “the television apparatus wherein said banner is displayed using said second program guide data in response to said controller determining that said banner is not currently displayed” Mountain discloses

Art Unit: 2425

(¶0023) that the message display is generated and indicates viewer that a new program can be viewed on the same channel as represented in Figs. 2A-2C.

Combination of Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg meets all the limitations of the claim except “said controller determines if said banner is currently displayed in response to said television apparatus receiving said second program guide data.” However, Kondo discloses (col.11, lines 7-9, 52-54) that the system checks to determine if the updated program information for the current tuned channel is present in transport stream and displays future programming information on the display. As to “said banner is updated using said second program guide data in response to said controller determining that said banner is currently displayed” Kondo discloses (col.7, lines 46-48) that the tuner in receiver constantly receives and refreshes graphic panel for future events with newly received program streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mountain, Knudson, Horowitz, and Gerszberg’s systems by refreshing graphic panel with updated EPG information as taught by Kondo in order to provide viewer with up-to-minute events schedule information (col.12, lines 46-48).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2425

- US Patent 6,271,893 to Kawaguchi

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pinkal R. Chokshi whose telephone number is (571) 270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian T. Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pinkal Chokshi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425